SPE GCS ANNUAL DRILLING SYMPOSIUM

Real-Time Rig Activity-Based Models and Drilling Analytics to Provide Early Indications of Hole Problems

Tosin Odunlami, SPE, Weatherford





OUTLINE

- Well Challenges & Hazard Avoidance
- Big Picture
- How & Why?
- Evolution of Real-Time Modeling
- Drilling Efficiency
- How To Avoid Drilling Ourselves Into Trouble
- Conclusion



Well Challenges & Hazard Avoidance

		Real Time Hazard Avoidance											
		Early Prediction & Enhanced Management of Wellbore Behavior											
		Continuous Measurement of Downhole Pressures	Dynamic T&D and Hydraulics Surveillance	Precise Downhole Pressure Management	Real-Time Particle Size Distribution	Real-Time Wellbore Stability Analysis							
Horizontal/Deviated Well Challenges	High Solids Build-up (excessive cuttings)	x	X		X								
	Differential Sticking	x		x	X	x							
	Wellbore Instability (excessive cavings)	x	X		x	X							
	Uncertainty of True Drilling Window	x		x		x							



Big Picture



MITIGATE LOST CIRCULATION AND KICKS MAINTAIN DRILLSTRING INTEGRITY

AVOID

PIPE

STUCK

DRILL WITHIN TIGHT MARGINS MAXIMIZE RATE OF PENETRATION



Drilling Hazards Management

Wellbore Stability

Drilling Optimization



How & Why



Drilling Optimization Plan

- Optimized trajectory
- Calibrated T&D, Hydraulics Models
- Optimized BHA design
- Driller's roadmap (DRM)
- Mechanical Specific Energy (MSE) analysis

DHM FEED Study

- Offset well data analysis
- Risk assessment
- Rig activity analysis (NPT, ILT)

Wellbore Stability

 Pore Pressure and Geomechanics Models

data analysis



Optimized BHA



Driller's Roadmap



Offset Well Analysis



Pre-Drill WBS Model



How & Why



Hole Condition Monitoring:

- Real-Time T&D, Hydraulics MvA
- Improve hole cleaning efficiency & stability
- Avoid stuck pipe
- Real-Time MSE analysis
- ROP Enhancement
- Vibration mitigation

Real-time DHM:

- Engineering support
- Management of Change

Real-time Wellbore Stability:

- Managing safe drilling window



Hole Condition Monitoring



Engineering Support



Model vs Actual (MvA) Analysis

- Torque & Drag
- Hydraulics



Real-Time WBS Model



How & Why

-

Driller's Roadmap



Drilling Performance Report:

- Review all engineering model vs actual parameter correlations
- Review & update Drillers' Road Map
- Analyze NPT & ILT to propose opportunities for improvement
- End-of-well report

Lessons Learned and Improved Best Practices

- Drilling practices
- BHA adjustments

DHM EOW Analysis

DBILLING DYNAMICS



Drilling Optimization EOW Analysis

Lessons Learned & Best Practices















Evolution of Real-Time Modeling

LEVEL 1

Torque & Drag/Hydraulics Model - Static Model

Torque/SPP Data

- Actual Sensor Data

Rig Activity:

- No Rig Activity

Model Type:

- Depth Based





Evolution of Real-Time Modeling

LEVEL 2

Torque & Drag/Hydraulics Model - Static Model

Torque/SPP Data

- Actual Sensor Data

Rig Activity:

- Rig Activity

Model Type:

- Depth Based





Evolution of Real-Time Modeling

LEVEL 3

Torque & Drag/Hydraulics Model - Dynamic Model

Torque/SPP Data

- Actual Sensor Data

Rig Activity:

- Rig Activity

Model Type:

- Time Based





Drilling Efficiency

Driller's Roadmap





	File 📝 H	lome	Setup	Feedback	Tools	Alarm	IS														Wedn	06:4	1:59
		£	-		-	TRQ Deviatio	on	*	SPP Devi	ation	*)**			·		1						1
						-50.00	-99.56	50.00	-50.00	-100.00	50.00						- L					- L	
Block Pos	sition	ft	HKLD De	viation	8	Model TRQ*		kipf-ft	Model SP	P*	psi				TRQ ROC		16					- L	
0.00	65.22	150.00	-50.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	0.00	-1.13	4000.00				-30.00	0.00	30.00					- L	
Weight or	n Bit	kip	Hook Loa	ad	kip	Rotary Torqu	ue	kipf-ft	SPP		psi	ASPR		*	SPP ROC		*			Bit Depth		ft	
0.00	0.00	60.00	0.00	130.69	350.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	0.00	0.00	4000.00	0.00	8.95	100.00	-30.00	0.00	30.00				6023.43		
ROP	276, 255	ft/hr	Hook Loa	ad	kip	Top Drive Sp	beed	rpm	Flow In	159510	gal/min	SPRR		%	HKLD ROC		% MS	EXOM	psi	Well Depth	NORMAN	ft	
1.00	0.00	500.00	0.00	30.78	350.00	0.00	0.00	300.00	0.00	0.00	1000.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	-30.00		30.00 0.0	0 0.00	1.2E+85	N	14546.00	_	13:00:00
13	/									4	-		*****						2				13.00.00
												Terreten.		-		and seators	vinin	-					14:00:00
12		-			and the		uttr		-			AND DESCRIPTION		-		111111111111111111111111111111111111111		-	-		$\langle \rangle$		15:00:00
مح										J.		ill animin			1361	approximation of the							
-		_		5			MAN DISS	****	-				Milia								2		16:10:42
D	/			(3					Q	THE STREET				The second s	in		-		\backslash	9	
3	1			1			77777777777777777777777777777777777777			2704		11 Starts							_		\langle	8, 20	17:38:16
3	/						iiiiiin.					ALL THE ALL	<u>у</u> ь.		111111111111111111111111111111111111111	*****	m)) 📑	Statement of the local division of the local	-		\backslash	orli 1	10.47.07
3	5	F					annin an				>	.a.tim	in ingener	ţī.				term provide the provide			4	ay, A	18:47:37
ţ.	\geq					TTEL CENTRE		annin annin		1	A		ATTIT Dissesse		manifille		00000				<	Aond	19:51:57
5/		9				135135	1111111				1	The second							_				
51				11			11111			1	1	B Ritter											21:00:00
-	7			AN COMPANY	-			ALL ALL		···. • •	\$	- mm	nun munt.										21:48:34
	/			1		F	1	aummes.			-	in the second second		1		in minimun	Huir						22:00:00
1								illis.			3	COLOR STREET	Anne			anna this							20,00.00
2	\geq					L 2 L	19999999311			1	{	-			The second second		-				\leq		23:57:49
-	\geq						WM THE					Alian				ann tana					\leq		
<						1 10	Mint.				8						<u> </u>				\geq		
5		i-cupe				- Lun	all.		-		aumm	Cuttern.			iii		LILL		1		~		
1		-		1							4		mi		*****				-			201	02:39:40
3						- 5				man	3	Mi - Mil	mm		-7000	more and	-					61.10	03:58:17
-				1		3-	Passagererererererererererererererererererer	*56644355++			3			**			1111				$ \rightarrow$	V. Apr	04:46:41
5	T	1000	533400000	1		Sun 1		anionasi.	North Contraction	1	A	RANKER		umm					-		4	sedd	04:40:41
13					-	ALL			-		7		diccon						_			P.	06:00:00
2	\$13			20 2		-				1	2		er man.				terer -						06:49:17
	- 2	-	-		-		<u> 1000000000000000000000000000000000000</u>				1	m	and milling	ilimmi			*****						00.45.17





Conclusion

- The ability to address the effects of deteriorating hole conditions requires their timely identification
- Real-time, Dynamic, Time Based, Rig Activity dependent models allows for that to happen
- In the example shown, there was 12 hours between the initial trigger and the tool getting stuck – more than enough time to do something...



Thank you

Questions?

