

Primary funding is provided by

# The SPE Foundation through member donations and a contribution from Offshore Europe

The Society is grateful to those companies that allow their professionals to serve as lecturers

Additional support provided by AIME



Society of Petroleum Engineers Distinguished Lecturer Program www.spe.org/dl



#### Understanding Liquid Loading Will Improve Well Performance

**Rob Sutton** 



Society of Petroleum Engineers Distinguished Lecturer Program www.spe.org/dl

### Example of Successful Deliquification Program





### Address the following question:

Can complex well geometries affect liquid loading characteristics and well performance?

### State of the Industry - USA



### Well Inventory - USA



### Lateral Length

#### **Cumulative Horizontal Well Lateral Length**



## Terminology

- Critical velocity
- Critical rate
- Static liquid column
- Terrain slugging
- Severe slugging
- Vertical Flow Performance
  - VFP Curves
  - Nodal Analysis

## Analysis Techniques

- Vertical flow performance curves
- Critical velocity
- Production graphs
  - Rate vs Time
  - Pressure vs Time
- Flowing pressure surveys
- Acoustic survey

## Complications

- Tubing set high above perforations
- Long completion intervals
- Complex well geometries
- Problem recognition

### **Production Data**



### **Pressure Data**



#### **Critical Rate** Vertical Flow Performance



### Tubing on Bottom vs Tubing Set High



## Vertical vs Slant Well Geometry



## **Unloading Velocity**

- Equation derived for vertical well
- Developed from terminal fall velocity
  - Liquid density
  - Gas density
  - Largest liquid droplet
- Frequently termed "critical velocity"

### **Turner Unloading Velocity**

$$v_c = 1.5934 \left[ \frac{\sigma \left( \rho_l - \rho_g \right)}{\rho_g^2} \right]^{0.25}$$

Without ±20% adjustment Coleman Equation

#### where

σ

 $v_c$ 

- $\rho_g$  = gas phase density, lbm/ft<sup>3</sup>
- $\rho_L$  = liquid phase density, lbm/ft<sup>3</sup>
  - = surface tension, dynes/cm
    - = critical velocity of liquid droplet, ft/sec

### **Turner Unloading Velocity**

0.25

$$v_c = 1.5934 \left[ \frac{N_{we}}{30} \right]^{0.25} \left[ \frac{\sigma(\rho_l - \rho_g)}{\rho_g^2} \right]$$

$$\frac{[\sin(1.7(90-\theta))]^{0.38}}{0.740767}$$



Belfroid et al SPE 115567 Angle Correction

#### where

- $\rho_g$  = gas phase density, lbm/ft<sup>3</sup>
- $\rho_L$  = liquid phase density, lbm/ft<sup>3</sup>
- $\sigma$  = surface tension, dynes/cm
- $N_{we}$  = Weber Number (use 60 for original Turner)
- $\theta$  = hole angle (Deg from vertical)
- $v_c$  = critical velocity of liquid droplet, ft/sec

### Well Angle Modification to Turner



SPE 115567

### **Evaluation Point**



Yg

### Assorted Well Profiles



#### **Complex Profiles**

- Vertical
- Build & Hold (Slant)
- S-Shaped
- Horizontal

 Complexity increases velocity or rate to unload well

## **Example Critical Velocity Profiles**



- Effects on critical velocity
  - Pressure
  - Temperature
  - PVT
    - Gas gravity
    - Water salinity
  - Hole Angle

### Vertical Well Case

(Variable Tubing Size)



#### Liquid Loading Bottom of Vertical Well

## Casing-Tubing Flow Unload Velocity 53 ft/sec

Flow Velocity 2-in tubing - 53 ft/sec 4-in Casing - 14 ft/sec

#### Liquid Loading Bottom of Vertical Well





#### Static Liquid Column Pressure Profile



27



### Horizontal Well Ideal Case

### **Complex Horizontal Well Profiles**



### Horizontal Well Profiles

# Fayetteville

#### **Horizontal Well Geometry**

## Severe Slugging

#### Severe Slugging Flow Map

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Liquid Loading at 86° from Vertical

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

4-in Pipe

Stratified flow pattern

#### Cleanup and Load Recovery in Vertical Fractures is Affected by Gravity, Viscous, and Capillary Forces

Flow downward, co-current at any rate, assisted by gravity. Lower Sw, better recovery and gas perm.

Possible water coning around well causing further damage?

Flow upward, co-current at high rates, counter-current at low rates, hindered by gravity.

Higher Sw, poor load recovery, and low gas perm.

SPE 168612

### **Example Horizontal Well**

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Example Horizontal Well**

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Velocity profile
- Gas velocity
  - Comparison with critical velocity
- EOT at 25°
  - Shallow
  - Slugging in curve
  - Slugging in horizontal

#### **Factors Affecting Rate-Time Decline**

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Example of Successful Deliquification Program

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Example of Successful Deliquification Program

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Possible Solutions**

- Velocity management
- Compression
- Foamers
- Artificial lift

### Observations

- Complex Geometries require Higher Critical Velocity
- Proper Liquids Management offers significant benefit
- Liquids Management restores / maintains well productivity
- Liquids Management requires constant attention
- Determine Critical Velocity / Rate thru-out well
- Nodal Analysis offers insight to Long Term Performance

### Questions?

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Your Feedback is Important

Enter your section in the DL Evaluation Contest by completing the evaluation form for this presentation Visit SPE.org/dl

![](_page_40_Picture_3.jpeg)

Society of Petroleum Engineers Distinguished Lecturer Program www.spe.org/dl

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)